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Abstract: Cell models play a crucial role in analyzing the mechanical response of cells and quantifying cellular damage incurred during micromanipulation. While traditional models can capture the overall mechanical behavior of cells, they often lack the ability to discern between distinct cellular components. Consequently, employing dissipative particle dynamics, this study constructed a triangular network-like representation of the cell membrane along with cross-linked cytoskeletal chains. The mechanical properties of both the membrane and cytoskeleton were then analyzed through a series of simulated mechanical tests, validated against real-world experiments. The investigation utilized particle-tracking rheology to monitor changes in mean square displacements of membrane particles over time, facilitating the analysis of the membrane’s storage and loss moduli. Additionally, the cytoskeleton network’s storage and loss moduli were examined via a double-plate oscillatory shear experiment. The simulation results revealed that both the membrane and cytoskeleton exhibit viscoelastic behavior, as evidenced by the power-law dependency of their storage and loss moduli on frequency. Furthermore, indentation and microinjection simulations were conducted to examine the overall mechanical properties of cells. In the indentation experiments, an increase in the shear modulus of the membrane’s WLC chains correlated with a higher Young’s modulus for the entire cell. Regarding the microinjection experiment, augmenting the microinjection speed resulted in reduced deformation of the cell at the point of membrane rupture and lower percentage of high strains.
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1. Introduction

Cell micromanipulation involves the precise control of a micropipette’s movement within a microscopic field for manipulating cells or early-stage embryos. This technique encompasses various procedures, including nuclear transfer, microinjection, cytoplasmic extraction, and the introduction of exogenous genes. Emerged in the 1990s, robotic micromanipulation has significantly advanced interdisciplinary research in engineering, life sciences, and biomedical fields [1]. In contrast to manual procedures, robotic micromanipulation plays a pivotal role in standardizing operations, enhancing success rates, and reducing operational costs. Irrespective of manual or robotic micromanipulation methods, cells undergo observable mechanical deformations when subjected to external forces, causing irreversible structural damage to cellular components like the cell membrane and cytoskeleton (e.g., membrane tearing or cytoskeletal fracture) [2–4] and significantly impacting cell developmental rates. For instance, despite the advantages of standardized
procedures and the high success rates—up to 95%—in robotic nuclear transfer, blastocyst rates remain at around 20%, and the live birth rate is less than 1% [5]. Hence, research in robotic micromanipulation must aim to automate operations while minimizing cell damage.

Studying cell models is crucial for analyzing cellular mechanical responses, quantifying intracellular strain and cell damage, and optimizing operational techniques. Traditional modeling approaches treat cells as continuous entities, employing spring-damping models to simulate cellular responses under varying loading conditions [6,7]. [6] built an approximate linear model for oocytes utilizing two springs and two dampers; the results, validated against actual experiments, accurately reflected the cell deformation induced by aspiration. However, while suitable for analyzing the microscopic mechanical characteristics of cells, these models could not differentiate between distinct cell components, each with unique mechanical properties at the microscale. For example, the zona pellucida of oocytes, composed of glycoprotein filaments, is considered to exhibit incompressible elastic properties [8], while the cytoplasm is believed to act as a viscous, non-Newtonian fluid [9]. Therefore, subcellular component modeling serves as a valuable tool for analyzing the mechanical properties of cellular components form an intermediate perspective between the micro- and nanoscales.

Dissipative Particle Dynamics (DPD) is a mesoscopic simulation method, based on statistical mechanics, that serves as a bridge between nanoscale and microscale simulations. DPD not only preserves certain atomic details of the system but also overcomes the spatial and temporal limitations of nanoscale simulations. As a result, it provides a valuable theoretical framework for unraveling the mechanical properties of subcellular structures. Prior studies, particularly those involving red blood cells, have extensively focused on modeling the cell membrane. These investigations primarily consider the phospholipid bilayer structure of the cell membrane while omitting molecular intricacies, yet capturing the microscopic mechanical properties of cells [10,11]. In our preceding work, we characterized the cell membrane as a viscous material and modeled it using a viscoelastic membrane model that considered the viscosity, bending resistance, and incompressibility of the cell. This model involved a triangular mesh, wherein each vertex corresponded to a DPD particle [12].

In contrast, modeling the cytoskeleton poses greater complexity as it encapsulates the local mechanical characteristics of the cell. The cytoskeleton is commonly perceived as a highly dynamic network of F-actin filaments interconnected by cross-linked proteins. Experimental observations suggest that the behavior of cross-linked F-actin at high oscillation frequencies is primarily governed by thermal fluctuations, leading to the power-law dependency of the storage modulus ($G'$) and loss modulus ($G''$) on the frequency. Furthermore, the network displays an elastic response at low frequencies. [13] analyzed the dynamics of transient cross-linking networks, proposing a semi-phenomenological approach to elucidate network behavior across low to medium frequencies. Another study [14] simulated a network comprising individual actin monomers and actin cross-linking proteins (ACPs) using Brownian dynamics. This analysis focused on unraveling the unfolding patterns of cross-linking proteins and assessing the impact of diverse constraints on network responses. However, existing simulation studies still grapple with achieving a dynamic cytoskeleton network that accurately mirrors the intricate rheological responses observed in experiments and replicates the viscoelastic properties evident in real-world scenarios.

Parameter determination and model validation play a pivotal role in cell modeling, often necessitating cell mechanics measurement experiments. These experiments frequently encompass techniques such as Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM)-based nanoindentation, micropipette aspiration, micro-rheology, microfluidics, magnetic tweezers, or optical tweezers. For instance, in nanoindentation experiments, force-indentation curves are obtained and fitted to determine the Young’s modulus of the simulated cell. Another critical validation experiment is microinjection. Constructing the simulation environment for microinjection...
allows the observation of cell deformation at varying penetration speeds, with intracellular strain commonly calculated as a cell damage indicator.

In this study, we developed a cell model using DPD, which comprises a triangular network-like representation of the cell membrane and cross-linked cytoskeletal chains. We scrutinized the mechanical properties of both the membrane and cytoskeleton through a series of simulated experiments, which were subsequently validated against physical experiments. Initially, to analyze the membrane’s storage and loss moduli, a particle tracking rheology experiment was conducted by monitoring the mean square displacements of membrane particles over time. Subsequently, a double-plate oscillatory shear experiment was employed to assess the storage and loss moduli of the cytoskeletal network. The simulation results indicate that both the membrane and cytoskeleton exhibit viscoelastic behavior, as demonstrated by the power-law dependency of their storage and loss moduli on frequency.

Furthermore, we conducted indentation and microinjection simulations mimicking real experiments to analyze the mechanical properties of whole cells, comprising the simulated cell membrane and cytoskeleton. In the indentation experiment, forces were recorded as the probe approached the cell, facilitating the derivation of force-indentation curves for the determination of Young’s modulus. Additionally, we investigated the impacts of varying membrane parameters, including the shear modulus $\mu_0$, the bending stiffness $k_{\text{bending}}$, and the maximum length $l_{\text{max}}$, on the force-indentation curves. For the microinjection experiment, we constructed a DPD environment mirroring the actual microinjection platform, featuring a holding micropipette, a cell, and a microinjection micropipette. The analysis centered on observing cell deformation at different microinjection speeds. The intracellular strain distribution within the simulated cell was plotted and compared with visually observed results from the actual experiment, utilizing metrics like the Jensen-Shannon (JS) divergence, Jaccard similarity coefficient, and Bhattacharyya Distributions.

The remainder of this paper is structured as follows. Section 2 describes the DPD method and the cell membrane and cytoskeleton models. This section elucidates the simulated mechanical testing conducted on these two components, including the theoretical framework behind particle tracking rheology for the membrane and the bulk rheology approach for the cytoskeleton. Additionally, it outlines the setup procedures for two distinct cell mechanics models—the indentation model and cell microinjection model—alongside the setup protocols for the actual experiments associated with these models. Section 3 details the outcomes derived from the particle tracking rheology of the membrane, focusing on the mean square displacements (MSD) of membrane particles over time, along with the determined storage and loss moduli of the membrane. It also presents the analytical findings related to the bulk rheology of the cytoskeletal network, which were derived from the stress curves obtained at varying shear strain frequencies. Moreover, this section analyzes the stress amplitude and phase difference concerning the applied shear strain. Finally, it includes discussions on the results stemming from the indentation experiment, delineating the force-indentation curves associated with different critical membrane parameters, and the outcomes of the microinjection experiment, focusing on the cell deformation observed under different microinjection speeds. Section 4 discusses the findings of the study, while Section 5 serves as the conclusion of this paper.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Dissipative Particle Dynamics and Cell Model

2.1.1. Dissipative Particle Dynamics

The DPD method, a mesoscopic statistical mechanics approach, finds widespread use in simulating intricate fluids and biological tissues. This system ensures momentum conservation and adheres to Newton’s equation of motion. Within the DPD framework, individual particles represent multiple molecules, and interactions between non-bonded particles are categorized into three types: a conservative force $F_{ij}^C$, a dissipative force $F_{ij}^D$, 

...
and a random force \( F^R_{ij} \). These interactions operate solely within the defined cutoff \( r_c \). The conservative force embodies a soft repulsion and is mathematically expressed as,

\[
F^C_{ij} = \begin{cases} 
    a_{ij} \left( 1 - \frac{r_{ij}}{r_c} \right) r_{ij} & r_{ij} < r_c \\
    0 & r_{ij} \geq r_c 
\end{cases} \tag{1}
\]

\( r_{ij} = r_{ij}/|r_{ij}| \) represents the directional vector between both particles, and \( a_{ij} \) is the repulsion strength between particles \( i \) and \( j \). The dissipative and random forces, which are interlinked via the fluctuation-dissipation theorem, are defined as,

\[
F^D_{ij} = -\gamma \omega^D(r_{ij}) < v_{ij}, \hat{r}_{ij} > \hat{r}_{ij} \tag{2}
\]

where \( \omega^D \) is a weight function that describes the decreasing dissipative force as the distance between the particles increases. \( v_{ij} = v_i - v_j \) describes the relative speed between the two particles and \( \gamma \) is the friction coefficient.

\[
F^R_{ij} = \sigma \omega^R(r_{ij}) \frac{\epsilon_{ij}}{\sqrt{dt}} r_{ij} \tag{3}
\]

where \( \sigma \) is the random force coefficient, \( \omega^R \) and \( \omega^D \) are weight functions related to distance \( r_{ij} \), \( \epsilon_{ij} \) is a random variable following a normal distribution with a zero mean and unit variance \( \epsilon_{ij} = \epsilon_{ji} \), and directions of dissipative force \( F^D_{ij} \) and random force \( F^R_{ij} \) are also along the directional vector between particles \( i \) and \( j \). These weight functions must satisfy the condition,

\[
\omega^D(r_{ij}) = |\omega^R(r_{ij})|^2 = \begin{cases} 
    (1 - r_{ij})^2, & r_{ij} < r_c \\
    0, & r_{ij} \geq r_c 
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\sigma^2 = 2\gamma k_B T \tag{4}
\]

### 2.1.2. Cell Membrane

The cell membrane primarily consists of a phospholipid bilayer, housing various types of membrane proteins integrated onto its surface. In this model, the cell membrane was depicted as a triangular network [15], and the vertices were interconnected through worm chains (WLC).

This model considered several factors: the elastic energy \( U_{\text{elastic}} \), the bending resistance \( U_{\text{bending}} \), as well as the volume \( U_{\text{volume}} \) and surface area \( U_{\text{area}} \) constraints. Additionally, it incorporated a dissipative force and a random force applied to the springs connecting two particles, aiming to describe the viscoelastic nature of the membrane [12,16].

\[
U = U_{\text{elastic}} + U_{\text{bending}} + U_{\text{area}} + U_{\text{volume}} \tag{5}
\]

The shear modulus \( \mu_0 \) of each WLC is expressed as,

\[
\mu_0 = \frac{\sqrt{3} k_B T}{4p l_{\text{max}} x_0} \left( \frac{x_0}{2(1-x_0)^3} - \frac{1}{4(1-x_0)^2} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{\sqrt{3}k_p(m+1)}{4p_{l_{\text{max}}} + 1} \right) \tag{6}
\]

where \( x_0 \) is the ratio of the spring’s equilibrium length to the maximum length \( l_{\text{max}} \), \( k_p \) is the spring constant, \( m \) is a user-specified exponent, \( p \) is the persistence length, and \( k_B T \) is the energy unit. The persistence length \( p \) and \( k_p \) control the overall stiffness of the cell membrane, and are related to \( \mu_0 \) and \( l_{\text{max}} \).

### 2.1.3. Cytoskeleton

The cell cytoskeleton comprises three primary classes of elements—microtubules, actin filaments, and intermediate filaments—differing in size and protein composition. With the involvement of various auxiliary proteins, these filaments interconnect to create a complex...
three-dimensional cytoskeletal network, significantly influencing both the mechanical properties and biological functions of a cell. Within the model, each filament represents a cluster of cytoskeletal filaments, structured through the interconnection of equally spaced DPD particles. These filaments are cross-linked via actin cross-linking proteins (ACPs). Each particle on the filaments can bind with an ACP, while an ACP can link up to two filament particles. Adjacent particles on the filaments are restricted by two harmonic potentials:

\[
U_{bond} = k_{bond}(r - r_0)^2,
\]

\[
U_{angle} = k_{angle}(\theta - \theta_0)^2
\]

where \( k_{bond} \) is the spring constant, \( k_{angle} \) is the bending stiffness, \( r, \theta \) are the length of a spring and the angle between two springs, respectively. In addition to the two-body potential and three-body potential regulated by the harmonic law, the interaction between the filament particles and ACP particles involves a four-body potential, utilized to portray the twist between two interconnected filaments mediated by an ACP:

\[
U_{bending} = \sum_{j=1}^{N_f} k_b[1 - \cos(\theta_j - \theta_0)]
\]

The single bond polymerization/depolymerization model was first proposed by Bell [18]. Furthermore, Dembo et al. [19] developed a formula to calculate the polymerization/depolymerization rate, utilizing the Boltzmann distribution to account for affinity. We applied this model to delineate the polymerization/depolymerization occurrences between filaments and ACPs, as well as filament and membrane. A bond can form at a rate of \( k_{on} \) when the distance between the free ligand and the receptor is sufficiently close. Conversely, if the length of the existing bond exceeds the breaking distance, it breaks at the rate of \( k_{off} \):

\[
k_{on/off} = k_{on/off}^0 \left(\frac{-\sigma_{on/off}(l - l_0)^2}{2k_B T}\right)
\]

where \( l \) describes the instantaneous distance between the free ligand and the receptor, \( k_{on/off}^0 \) and \( k_{off} \) represents the bonding and dissociation rates when the distance between the free ligand and the receptor is \( l_0 \). The ACP particle forms a bond with neighboring filament particles with a probability of \( P_{on} \):

\[
P_{on/off} = \begin{cases} 
1 - e^{-k_{on/off} \Delta t} & l < d_{on/off} \\
0 & l \geq d_{on/off}
\end{cases}
\]

In DPD simulations, the above stochastic model has been widely utilized to investigate varying adhesion behaviors (bonds formation) between healthy RBC or RBC in sickle cell disease and macrophages in blood flow [20,21], the phenomenon of thrombus formation caused by platelet aggregation [22], as well as the adhesion dynamics of white blood cells in shear flow [23].

The process of assembling different components within a cell unfolds as follows: Non-intersecting filaments of varying lengths were randomly generated, and a specific concentration of ACPs was introduced into the cell space. This facilitated the random amalgamation of filaments and ACPs, forming a network characterized by substantial cross-linking. Subsequently, this network was integrated into the cell membrane model, culminating in the combination of membrane particles and cytoskeletal particles, mirroring the cross-linking process between filament and ACP particles. Finally, dihedral angle constraints were incorporated into the cytoskeleton model to achieve the ultimate model. These values were established by referencing previous work [12]. Furthermore, certain parameter adjustments were made to suit the requirements of the new model. It should
be noted that the Switch strength parameters were used and validated in our previous simulation of micropipette aspiration[12], which fitted observed phenomena well.

Table 1. Parameters used in the simulation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Physical</th>
<th>Simulation</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$r_c$</td>
<td>Cell diameter</td>
<td>120 µm</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>[12]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_B T$</td>
<td>Energy scale</td>
<td>$4.1164 \times 10^{-21}$ J</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>[12]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_v$</td>
<td>Volume constant</td>
<td>$7.5 \times 10^3$</td>
<td></td>
<td>[12,17,23,24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_a$</td>
<td>Area constant</td>
<td>$7.5 \times 10^3$</td>
<td></td>
<td>[12,17,23,24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_d$</td>
<td>Local area constant</td>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
<td>[12,16]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_a$</td>
<td>Actin number</td>
<td>2698</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_f$</td>
<td>Filament length</td>
<td>0.5 –2.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{af}$</td>
<td>Actin number on filament</td>
<td>3 –11</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_f$</td>
<td>Filament spring constant</td>
<td>$0.092 \text{ N/m}$</td>
<td>$8 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>[12,23,24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{f\text{ bend}}$</td>
<td>Filament bending stiffness</td>
<td>$4.025 \times 10^{-16}$ J</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>[12,23,24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_A$</td>
<td>ACP number</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_A$</td>
<td>ACP spring constant</td>
<td>$0.0092 \text{ N/m}$</td>
<td>$8 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>[12,23,24,31]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{f\text{–}A}$</td>
<td>ACP-filament bending stiffness</td>
<td>$6.325 \times 10^{-16}$ J</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>[12,16,23,24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_t$</td>
<td>ACP-filament torsion stiffness</td>
<td>$4.7 \times 10^{-16}$ J</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>[12,16,23,24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_0^0$</td>
<td>Zero-force unbinding rate</td>
<td>78 s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>$26 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>[12,16,24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_{on}$</td>
<td>Binding distance</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>[12,24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_{off}$</td>
<td>Unbinding distance</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>[12,24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{off}$</td>
<td>Switch strength for cytoskeleton</td>
<td>$3.5 \times 10^{-4}$ µm</td>
<td>$3.5 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>[12]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{off}$</td>
<td>Switch strength for cell</td>
<td>$10^{-4}$ µm</td>
<td>$10^{-4}$</td>
<td>[12]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1.4. Particle Tracking Rheology of Membrane

Particle tracking rheology finds extensive application in biophysical settings, involving the introduction of small particles or droplets into fluids or cells to monitor their motion using lasers or cameras [25–27]. We employed this technique to explore the membrane’s capacity to elastically store energy and dissipate stress as heat. A specific set of membrane particles was chosen, and their Mean Square Displacements (MSDs) over time were recorded, expressed as:

$$\langle (\Delta r(t))^2 \rangle = \langle |r(t + t_0) - r(t_0)|^2 \rangle$$

(11)

where $t$ is the time and $\langle |r(t + t_0) - r(t_0)| \rangle$ represents the MSDs of these particles.

To derive storage modulus $G'$ and loss modulus $G''$ from the MSDs, we utilized an approximate method [28] outlined as:

$$G^*(s) \approx \frac{k_B T}{\pi a \langle (\Delta r(t))^2 \rangle \Gamma[1 + \chi(s)]} \bigg|_{s=\frac{1}{t}}$$

(12)

where $s$ represents the Laplace frequency; $k_B$ represents the Boltzmann constant; $a$ is the particle radius; $\Gamma$ is a gamma function; $\chi(s)$ represents the slope of $\langle (\Delta r(t))^2 \rangle$ in Log-log scale plot.
\[ \chi(s) \equiv \left. \frac{d \ln(\Delta r(t)^2)}{d \ln t} \right|_{s=\frac{1}{t}} \]  

(13)

The storage modulus \( G' \) and the loss modulus \( G'' \) are computed using the following expressions,

\[ G'(s) = |G^*(s)| \cos\left(\frac{\pi \chi(s)}{2}\right) \]
\[ G''(s) = |G^*(s)| \sin\left(\frac{\pi \chi(s)}{2}\right) \]  

(14)

2.1.5. Bulk Rheology of Cytoskeleton

After conducting mechanical tests on the membrane, we proceeded with rheological studies concerning the cytoskeletal network [29]. For shear rheology, a two-plate model was utilized to define the sample’s rheological behavior. This involved placing the sample between two parallel plates, with the lower plate fixed and the upper plate capable of moving parallel to the lower plate to exert a shear force [30].

\[ \gamma = \frac{s}{h} \]

where \( \gamma \) is the strain; \( s \) is the displacement of the upper plate, and \( h \) is the distance between two plates. The rate of the shear is,

\[ \dot{\gamma} = \frac{d\gamma}{dt} \]

Subsequently, we assessed the viscoelasticity of the sample via oscillatory shear tests. This entailed introducing a sinusoidal shear strain with an oscillation frequency \( f_s \) and a maximum strain \( \gamma_0 \) to the upper plate. When the strain was controlled within a narrow range, the stress response curve \( \tau \) over time \( t \) should resemble a sinusoidal curve, mirroring the applied sinusoidal strain’s frequency.

\[ \gamma(t) = \gamma_0 \sin(2\pi f_s t) \]

Through analysis of the stress-strain curve, we evaluated the viscoelastic moduli. The storage shear modulus \( G' \) contributes to the material’s elasticity, while the loss modulus \( G'' \) contributes to viscosity [31].

\[ |G^*(f_s)| = \frac{|\tau|}{|\gamma|} \]
\[ |G'(f_s)| = |G^*(f_s)| \cos \phi \]
\[ |G''(f_s)| = |G^*(f_s)| \sin \phi \]

where \( \phi \) is the phase delay between strain and stress, whose value ranges between 0 and \( \frac{\pi}{2} \). A value of 0 indicates no delay, signifying a pure solid elastic material, while a value of \( \frac{\pi}{2} \) suggests a pure fluidic material.

In the simulation, we fully relaxed the polymerized cytoskeletal network under periodic boundary conditions. After stabilizing the system temperature, we immobilized the particles within 5% of the cytoskeleton network’s height at the bottom. By applying sinusoidal strain in the shear direction and varying the frequency, we obtained multiple sets of results after 10 cycles of strain. Subsequently, the responsive shear stress curve was fitted to derive the amplitude and phase angle for computing the storage and loss modulus.
2.2. Cell Mechanics Model

2.2.1. Indentation Model

An indentation model was devised within the DPD environment to investigate the mechanical attributes of the cell model, encompassing its two components. In this model, both the substrate and probe were constituted using DPD particles. Given that the probe’s stiffness exceeded that of the samples being measured, the simulation established the probe as a rigid body. Initially, the substrate was immobilized in its starting position, and the cell was positioned atop the substrate, permitting the cell to achieve complete relaxation. Simultaneously, a conical indenter was situated above the cell, inclined at an angle of 18°. Once the cell reached a fully relaxed state, a constant speed was imparted to the probe, causing it to approach the cell. As the probe made contact with the cell, deformation ensued. Our recordings captured both the displacement of the probe and the load at the probe’s center of mass, forming the basis for a force-indentation curve. It’s essential to note that the probe’s displacement was regarded as akin to the displacement of the piezoelectric scanner in the actual experimental setup. Adjustments were made to the membrane parameters, including the shear modulus \( \mu_0 \), the bending stiffness \( k_{\text{bending}} \), and the maximum length \( l_{\text{max}} \). These adjustments aimed to explore their respective impacts on the force-indentation curves.

2.2.2. Cell Microinjection Model

In the simulation, we replicated the cell microinjection DPD environment mirroring the features of the real microinjection platform. This environment predominantly comprised a holding micropipette, a cell, and a microinjection micropipette. Both the holding and microinjection micropipettes were simplified as hollow cylinders constituted of DPD particles. The interaction between the particles of the holding and microinjection micropipettes was disregarded. Arranged along y axis, the holding and microinjection micropipette particles were fixed, allowing the cell to fully relax within this setup. To account for the intricate fluid dynamics surrounding and within the holding micropipette during aspiration, the negative pressure in the holding micropipette was simplified into a force field, attracting the membrane particles into the micropipette. As the aspiration process commenced, the cell approached the holding micropipette and underwent deformation due to the negative pressure’s influential force field. Once the aspiration process stabilized, we saved the current simulation state and initiated movement of the microinjection micropipette. Given that the cell membrane constituted a network comprising numerous WLC bonds, each bond encountered a distinct stretching force during membrane deformation. We considered a bond to be broken when its length exceeded twice the equilibrium length. Upon this occurrence, the simulation halted, and the simulation data was exported.

We applied the continuum finite strain theory to compute cell deformation\[^{32–34}\]. The distance vector between particle \( i \) and its neighboring particle \( j \) is given by:

\[
d_{ji} \equiv x_j - x_i
\]

\[
d_{0ji} \equiv x_j^0 - x_i^0
\]

where \( d_{0ji} \) represents the distance vector on the reference configuration, \( d_{ji} \) represents the distance vector on the current configuration, and \( N_i \) is the set of neighboring particles. To acquire a local affine transformation \( J_i \), we formulated:

\[
d_{ji} \rightarrow d_{0ji}, \forall j \in N_i
\]

\[
\sum_{j \in N_i} |d_{ji}\mathbf{J}_i - d_{ji}| = 0
\]

This can be expressed as,

\[
\mathbf{J}_i = (\sum_{j \in N_i} d_{0ji}^T d_{ji})^{-1} \sum_{j \in N_i} d_{0ji}^T d_{ji}
\]
where $J_i$ is the deformation gradient tensor. Using the polar decomposition, $J_i$ is decomposed into two matrices, namely $R$ and $U$. We define the strain $E$ as,

$$J_i = RU$$

$$E = U - I$$

where $I$ is the identity matrix.

We interpret the main diagonal element of $E$ as the tension-to-original-length ratio. To ascertain consistency between experimental and simulation results, we plotted the experimental strain as a histogram, denoted as distribution $P_0$. The simulation's histogram was considered as distribution $P_1$. Metrics such as Jensen-Shannon (JS) divergence, Jaccard similarity coefficient, and Bhattacharyya Distance were employed to analyze the consistency degree between the experimental and simulation strain distributions.

Additionally, the DPD parameters (Table 2) used in simulation were cross-referenced to earlier work.

**Table 2.** Conservative parameters ($a_{ij}$), amplitudes of dissipative force $\gamma$ and cut-off radii $R_c$. The interaction between the holding micropipette and the microinjection micropipette is ignored.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Membrane</th>
<th>Actin</th>
<th>ACP</th>
<th>Probe</th>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Holding micropipette</th>
<th>Microinjection micropipette</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>100/45/0.5</td>
<td>100/45/0.5</td>
<td>100/45/0.5</td>
<td>100/65/0.25</td>
<td>100/25/100/65/0.25</td>
<td>100/65/0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100/65/0.25</td>
<td>100/65/0.25</td>
<td>100/65/0.25</td>
<td>100/25/100/65/0.25</td>
<td>100/65/0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100/65/0.25</td>
<td>100/65/0.25</td>
<td>100/25/100/65/0.25</td>
<td>100/65/0.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2.3. Experiment

#### 2.3.1. Cell Preparation

The ovaries were obtained from a slaughterhouse and promptly transported to our laboratory within 2 hours of collection. They were stored in a thermos flask containing sterile saline at a temperature range of 35-37°C. The experimental procedures were reviewed and approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of Nankai University on March 15, 2015. Cumulus oocyte complexes (COCs) ranging in diameter from 2-6 mm were extracted from follicles using a disposable syringe. These complexes were then cultured in a maturation medium within an incubator set to an atmosphere of 5% CO2 and 95% humidified air. The maturation medium consisted of TCM199 (with Earle’s Salts; Gibco, Grand Island, NY, USA) supplemented with 10% porcine follicular fluid (PFF), 0.1 mg/mL cysteine, 0.065 mg/mL penicillin, 10 ng/mL epidermal growth factor (EGF), 10 IU/mL equine chorionic gonadotropin (eCG; Intervet Pty. Ltd., Boxmeer, Australia), and 10 IU/mL human chorionic gonadotrophin (hCG; Intervet Pty. Ltd.).

#### 2.3.2. AFM Indentation

The AFM experiment was conducted using a Bioscope Resolve AFM system (Bruker, USA), which integrated an inverted optical microscope (Nikon Eclipse Ti2, Japan), a CCD camera, an SPM head functioning as a detector-probe assembly for accurate probe positioning, and a baseplate. The probe featured an approximate tip radius of 20 nm and a length of approximately 175 $\mu$m, with a spring constant of 0.07 N/m. AFM indentation was performed on the oocytes positioned on a polylysine-coated slide. The imaging mode employed was PeakForce QNM in fluid, allowing direct control of the peak normal force and minimizing lateral force on the probe. Prior to the experiment, the probe’s spring constant underwent calibration using the AFM thermal noise module. The determination of Young’s Modulus of the oocytes was accomplished through the approach-retraction mode. During the approach stage, aided by the optical microscope, the probe gradually approached the cell surface. Upon contact with the cell surface, indentation occurred, causing the cantilever to deflect, reflecting the force applied to the probe. Subsequently,
the retraction stage initiated as the deflection or the ramp size reached the specified value, leading to the probe being lifted away from the cell.

2.3.3. Cell microinjection

The cell microinjection experiments were carried out using a self-customized NK-MR601 micromanipulation robot system, assembled on an inverted microscope (Nikon ECLIPSE Ti2). This system featured an X-Y stage with a 100mm × 100mm moving range, a positioning resolution of ±0.1μm, and a maximum speed of 2mm/s. It comprised two self-developed X-Y-Z three-degree-of-freedom micromanipulation arms responsible for positioning the microinjection and holding micropipettes. As part of the experimental setup, a syringe provided aspiration pressure, delivering negative pressure of -3~0kPa and positive pressure of 0~200kPa. Visual inspection during microinjection was facilitated by a CCD camera (Panasonic, W-V460), while image acquisition, data processing, and motion control were handled by a host computer. The holding pipettes utilized in the experiment were crafted from a borosilicate glass tube with an outer diameter of 1mm and an inner diameter of 0.6mm. These pipettes were manufactured using an instrument (MODEL P-97, Sutter Instrument) and then forged into micropipettes with openings ranging from 50-80 μm using a forging instrument (MF-900, Narishige). The opening was smoothed by melting it with an alcohol lamp. The images of oocytes were acquired with a 10X objective lens, with a spatial resolution of 0.625 μm. During the experimental process, the culture dish containing the cells was placed on the system stage. The microinjection micropipette and holding micropipette were positioned using the two operating arms, adjusted to the same focal plane. Negative pressure was applied through the holding micropipette to aspirate the cell, and the micropipette was injected at a controlled speed towards the cell’s central axis until the cell membrane was ruptured. The microinjection micropipette was set to speeds of 10, 30, and 50μm/s. Visual data from the experiment was detected using a vision-based method to measure the strain inside the cells.

3. Results

3.1. Particle Tracking Rheology of Membrane

In the simulation, we analyzed 40 particles on the cell membrane for particle tracking rheology and monitored the Mean Square Displacements (MSDs) of these particles over time. The particle movements on the cell membrane gradually stabilized, reaching a consistent state after 0.1s (Figure 1A). Utilizing the MSD curve, we computed the storage modulus $G'$ and the loss modulus $G''$ at various Laplace frequencies under room temperature conditions, as illustrated in Figure 1B. The results show a continuous increase in both storage and loss moduli with rising frequency. At lower frequencies, the elastic component (storage modulus) dominates, while at higher frequencies, the viscous component (loss modulus) surpasses the elastic contribution. Additionally, the storage and loss moduli of the cell membrane exhibit a weak power-law relationship at higher frequencies, approximated as $G \sim s^{0.85}$. These observations collectively suggest that our cell membrane exhibits typical viscoelastic material properties.
3.2. Bulk Rheology of the Cytoskeleton Network

In the simulation, we subjected the three-dimensional cytoskeleton network to sinusoidal shear strain with a 2% amplitude across various frequencies $f_s$. The cytoskeleton network’s elastic characteristics arise from the utilization of harmonic potential energy within the crosslinked filaments, whereas its viscous properties stem from both the dissipative force and the interlacing of cytoskeleton filaments. Analyzing stress curves generated at different shear strain frequencies allowed us to determine stress amplitude and phase differences concerning the applied shear strain (Figure 2A). Subsequently, we calculated the storage modulus and loss modulus of the crosslinked cytoskeleton network (Figure 2B).

![Figure 1.](image1.png)  
**Figure 1.** Storage and loss modulus of the cell membrane. A) MSDs of the particles over time. B) Storage modulus $G'$ and loss modulus $G''$ calculated from the MSDs. The dashed line represents the simulation results, whereas the solid line represents the corresponding polynomial fitting.

![Figure 2.](image2.png)  
**Figure 2.** Bulk rheology of the cytoskeleton network. A) Shear stress at a shear strain frequency of 3Hz. It is evident that the resultant shear stress has the same periodicity as the applied shear strain, which was fitted with a sine function to obtain the amplitude and phase angle. B) Storage and loss moduli of the cytoskeleton network at different frequencies. The x-shaped symbol indicates the storage modulus of the bulk rheology, which corresponds to the amount of energy that can be stored by the cytoskeleton network for reversible deformation. The o-shaped symbol denotes the loss modulus, which corresponds to the energy lost due to irreversible deformation. It can be observed that both moduli exhibit a weak power-law relationship with frequency at higher frequencies.

At lower frequencies, the deformation behavior is predominantly influenced by the elastic properties. As the frequency escalates, the loss modulus surpasses the storage modulus at approximately tens of Hertz, indicating a transition in the cytoskeleton network towards fluidic properties. This shift might be attributed to the filaments being stretched for longer durations at lower frequencies, allowing them adequate time for recovery from deformation. During this phase, the energy loss due to particle friction within the network...
remains relatively minimal. However, at higher frequencies or shorter timescales, filament deformations cannot adequately recover, leading to increased energy loss through particle friction and a consequent substantial rise in the loss modulus. Additionally, we observed a weak power-law relationship between the storage and loss moduli and the frequency $G \sim f^{-0.75}$, demonstrating the nonlinear mechanical properties of the cytoskeleton model. This characteristic signifies the cytoskeleton model’s nature as a viscoelastic semi-polymer network, aligning with the theoretical predictions outlined in [31,35–37,42].

3.3. Indentation Experiment

In the indentation simulation, we developed a probe comprising roughly 3000 DPD particles and directed it toward the cell (Figure 3A). Upon interaction between the probe and the cell, the cell initiated deformation, leading to the generation of elastic force that acted in opposition to the probe. As the probe progressed, the force applied to it increased due to the increasing number of WLC bonds engaged in elastic deformation. To fit the force-indentation curve using the Sneddon model, we selected a section of the curve (0.4 $\mu$m-0.8 $\mu$m in Figure 3B) exhibiting a large slope. The Young’s modulus was determined to be 17.96 kPa, showing a slight deviation of approximately about 2% from the experimental result (17.63 ± 5.10 kPa) [38].

Figure 3. Indentation experiment. A) Experimental setup. B) Force-indentation curve. The Sneddon model was fit using a two-point method selecting the large-slope portion of the curve.

We further explored the effects of the shear modulus $\mu_0$, the bending stiffness $k_{\text{bending}}$, and the maximum length $l_{\text{max}}$ on the elastic properties of the cell membrane. We set the shear modulus as $\mu_0 = 5, 50, 100$ or 300 and conducted the indentation experiments, as shown in Figure 4. Under the influence of high shear modulus, the slope of the force-indentation curve tended to increase, meaning that the force imposed on the probe increased. The possible reason was that the shear modulus $\mu_0$ affected the tensile properties of the cell membrane that related to the elastic force generated by the WLC bonds.

We further investigated the impact of the shear modulus $\mu_0$, the bending stiffness $k_{\text{bending}}$, and the maximum length $l_{\text{max}}$ on the elastic properties of the cell membrane. We set the shear modulus as $\mu_0 = 5, 50, 100$ or 300 and conducted the indentation experiments. Higher shear modulus values resulted in an increase in the slope of the force-indentation curve, indicating an elevated force applied to the probe. This effect may be attributed to the influence of the shear modulus on the tensile properties of the cell membrane, particularly concerning the elastic force produced by the WLC bonds.
Figure 4. Change in the elastic properties of cells under different membrane parameters. The force-indentation curves under different values of $\mu_0 = 5, 50, 100$ or 300, $k_{bending} = 65$ (A) or $k_{bending} = 100$ (B). C) The relationship between Young’s modulus and $\mu_0$ under $k_{bending} = 65$ or 100. D) Force-indentation curves under different values of $l_{max}$ and $k_{bending}$.

In the simulation, we observed the impact of different bending stiffness $k_{bending}$ on the elastic properties of the cell. At shallow indentation depths ($\leq 0.5\mu m$), a higher $k_{bending}$ corresponded to a greater indentation force. However, as the depth increased, this effect gradually diminished. The influence of the $k_{bending}$ also varied concerning $\mu_0$. When $\mu_0 \leq 50$, a larger $k_{bending}$ led to a higher Young’s modulus; however, this relationship was not evident when $\mu_0 \geq 50$. We set $l_{max} = 0.6, 0.8, 1.0, or 1.2$ while maintaining the initial length of the WLC constant to examine its role in the cell’s minor deformation. We observed nearly identical force-indentation curves under the same $k_{bending}$, indicating that the contribution of $l_{max}$ to the elastic modulus was negligible during the minor deformations.

3.4. Microinjection Experiment

At the start of the simulation, the cell experienced deformation caused by a force field simulating negative pressure, resulting in a section of the cell being drawn into the aspiration micropipette, as depicted in Figure 5A. Once the aspiration stabilized, the microinjection micropipette commenced its movement toward the cell. Gradually, as the micropipette penetrated to a shallow depth, the entire cell underwent compression along the micropipette’s direction of movement. Continuing penetration led to substantial local deformation at the interaction point with the micropipette. Notably, the membrane in this area experienced noticeable stretching. As the micropipette reached a specific depth, the membrane bonds surpassed their designated length, resulting in the rupture of the cell membrane. We isolated the cytoskeleton component and specifically analyzed its strain during microinjection, as depicted in Figure 5B. Two image sets illustrate the strain magnitude at various penetration depths. The initial set of images portrays the cytoskeleton’s deformation at a shallow penetration depth, while the subsequent set illustrates deformation during deeper micropipette penetration. The regions of deformation, indicated by higher strain in blue, were primarily concentrated around the microinjection site. With
increased penetration depth, the strain consistently increased, and the extent of deformation expanded progressively (Figure 5C).

Figure 5. Microinjection experiment simulation. A) Cell deformation upon microinjection. B) Intracellular strain magnitude at shallow and deep penetration depths. C) Scatter plot of the intracellular strain at shallow and deep penetration depths.

We attribute the varying strains observed in cells responding to different micropipette injection speeds to the strain-rate dependency of biological tissue materials. At lower speeds, cells showed more pronounced deformation, suggesting a higher flexibility of biological structures when subjected to slower impacts. Conversely, at higher speeds, cells exhibited rigid characteristics, indicating a stiffening response. This dual behavior reflects their nonlinear response to external stimuli at different speeds. To further examine the strain-rate dependence phenomenon, we conducted three sets of experiments. In the second and third sets, the micropipette penetration speeds were three times and five times that of the first set, respectively (Figure 6). As the penetration speed increased, the extent of cell deformation upon contact with the micropipette decreased. For instance, in the third experiment set, the cell region farther from the micropipette showed minimal deformation. Additionally, the degree of deformation at the moment of rupture decreased at higher speeds, resulting in a smaller proportion of large strains.
Figure 6. Analysis for the microinjection simulation strain. a), d), and g) Strain generated at an injection speed of 2000 µm/s. b), e), and h) Stain generated at an injection speed of 6000 µm/s. c), f), and i) Strain generated at an injection speed of 10000 µm/s.

It is important to note differences in strain calculation methods between the simulation and the actual experiment. In the simulation, strain was directly computed from a three-dimensional point cloud, whereas in the experiment, strain was derived from two-dimensional images. Additionally, the simulation randomly selected cytoskeleton particles for strain calculation, while in the images, the selected feature points were uniformly distributed (using optical flow estimation). Within the three-dimensional point cloud, we opted for the cross-section near the cell’s center and projected the points onto a two-dimensional plane to compute strain in the penetration direction (Figure 7A). To compare simulation and experimental results for cells penetrated at a speed of 10 µm/s, we utilized a histogram as a metric. Comparing strain distributions, we observed a prominent peak in the low-strain region within the range of [-0.4, -0.2]. Upon plotting the strain histogram, we used metrics such as the Jaccard similarity coefficient, Bhattacharyya Distance, and JS Divergence to gauge similarity between simulation and experimental distributions. The Jaccard similarity coefficient yielded a value of 0.655, while the Bhattacharyya Distance and JS Divergence were 0.0272 and 0.0267, respectively. These values suggest that our model effectively captured deformation patterns akin to those observed in the experiments.
Figure 7. Comparison of strain calculation between simulation (above) and actual experiment (below). The penetration speed was $10 \, \mu m/s$ for both the simulation and actual experiment. In the simulation, we selected the cross-section near the center of the cell and mapped the points onto a two-dimensional plane. A histogram was used as a metric for comparing the results.

4. Discussion

Micromanipulation induces intracellular strains altering cell viscoelasticity, potentially reducing developmental potential. Optimizing micromanipulation procedures requires an interactive cell-micromanipulation model for intracellular strain analysis. Here, we used the DPD method to construct a cell model encompassing cell membranes and cytoskeleton. To validate this modeling approach, we conducted mechanical tests: particle-tracking microrheology for the cell membrane and shear oscillation for the cytoskeleton. Both experiments generated storage and loss modulus curves at varying frequencies, revealing time-dependent viscoelasticity and a weak power-law relationship between modulus and frequency.

We established a nanoindentation simulation, evaluating overall cell elastic properties. By plotting force-indentation curves and applying the Sneddon model, we obtained Young’s modulus (17.96 kPa vs. 17.63 kPa experimentally). We examined which membrane parameters had the most significant impact on the elastic properties by adjusting their value and observing the changes in the force-indentation curves and Young’s modulus. Additionally, a microinjection experiment studied cell deformation during injection. Using finite strain theory, we calculated normal strain in the injection direction, quantitatively comparing results with the actual experiment. Investigation of micropipette injection speed’s influence on intracellular strain revealed varied cell deformation patterns. These variations correspond to typical viscoelastic traits of biological tissues, demonstrating strain rate dependency in their responses. Our model replicated observed phenomena, showing increased injection speed correlated with smaller strains at membrane rupture.

In this cell model, the construction of the cell membrane followed our established method, whereas the cell cytoskeleton underwent improvements from our previous work. Both components remained phenomenological models; for instance, the cell membrane did not consider biological macromolecules on its surface. The randomly generated coarse-grained cytoskeletal filaments exhibited non-uniform spatial distribution, resulting in
inconsistent mechanical properties across various locations. In our future studies, we aim to model the cell cytoskeleton at a finer scale and integrate it into our mesoscopic model after coarse-graining. This approach will enhance the accuracy of cytoskeleton modeling at the mesoscopic level.

Our upcoming work involves additional microscale experiments to further validate our models, including microfluidic experiments and cell transport studies. We plan to delve into changes in viscoelasticity linked with variations in cytoskeleton parameters, such as actin filament concentration, filament length, cross-linker concentration, and polymerization parameters. Furthermore, we aim to refine our cell modeling by incorporating additional components, such as cell nuclei and polar bodies.

5. Conclusions

In this study, we developed a cell model comprising a triangular network-like cell membrane and cross-linked cytoskeletal chains. We separately explored the mechanical properties of the membrane and cytoskeleton network through a series of simulated mechanical testing experiments. Using tracking rheology, we recorded mean square displacements of the membrane particles over time and subsequently computed the storage and loss moduli of the membrane. Additionally, we conducted a double-plate oscillatory shear experiment on the cytoskeleton network to analyze its storage and loss moduli. The results demonstrate that both the membrane and cytoskeleton exhibit viscoelastic characteristics, given their storage and loss moduli dependencies on frequency ($\omega^{0.85}$ and $\omega^{0.75}$). Furthermore, we conducted indentation and microinjection simulations to mimic actual experiments and analyze the mechanical properties of whole cells. In the indentation experiment, we recorded the probe displacement and load at the probe’s center of mass, generating force-indentation curves. We varied membrane parameters and examined their effects on force-indentation curves. In the microinjection experiment, we studied cell deformation and intracellular strain distribution under varying microinjection speeds. We compared the resulting strain distribution with visual inspection data from the actual experiment using metrics like the Jensen-Shannon (JS) divergence, Jaccard similarity coefficient, and Bhattacharyya Distance.
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